

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Seizure

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/yseiz

Abnormal motor cortex plasticity in juvenile myoclonic epilepsy

Gionata Strigaro^{*}, Lina Falletta, Annalisa Cerino, Chiara Pizzamiglio, Giacomo Tondo, Claudia Varrasi, Roberto Cantello

Department of Translational Medicine, Section of Neurology, University of Piemonte Orientale "A. Avogadro", Novara, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 May 2015

Received in revised form 5 June 2015

Accepted 7 June 2015

Keywords:

Juvenile myoclonic epilepsy

Transcranial magnetic stimulation

Paired associative stimulation

Synaptic plasticity

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Abnormal cortical plasticity has been hypothesized to play a crucial role in the pathogenesis of juvenile myoclonic epilepsy (JME). To study the motor cortical plasticity we used paired associative stimulation (PAS). When a repetitive electrical stimulus to the median nerve is paired with a transcranial magnetic stimulus (TMS) pulse over the contralateral motor cortex with at an interstimulus interval (ISI) of 21.5–25 ms, a long term potentiation (LTP)-like synaptic plasticity is induced in the corticospinal system.

Aim of this study was to investigate the motor cortex LTP-like synaptic plasticity by means of PAS in patients with JME.

Methods: Twelve adult patients with JME were compared with 13 healthy subjects of similar age and sex. PAS consisted of 180 electrical stimuli of the right median nerve paired with a single TMS over the hotspot of right abductor pollicis brevis (APB) at an ISI of 25 ms (PAS25). We measured motor evoked potentials (MEPs) before and after each intervention for up to 30 min.

Results: In healthy subjects the PAS25 protocol was followed by a significant increase of the MEP amplitude ($p < 0.001$). On the contrary, in patients with JME, the MEP amplitude did not change.

Conclusion: Defective motor cortex plasticity is likely involved in the pathogenesis of JME.

© 2015 British Epilepsy Association. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Juvenile myoclonic epilepsy (JME) is the most common idiopathic generalized epilepsy (IGE), with a presumed genetic aetiology [1]. Myoclonic jerks, absences and generalized tonic–clonic seizures are the core findings in this syndrome [2]. So far, motor cortex hyperexcitability [3] and abnormal function of fronto-thalamic networks have been involved in the pathophysiology of JME [4–6]. Hyperexcitability of primary visual areas and excessive response of the primary motor cortex to visual inputs would be another important factor [7,8] since the presence of a photoparoxysmal response is common [2].

Abnormal cortical plasticity has been frequently hypothesized to play a crucial role in the pathogenesis of epilepsies [9,10], at least in experimental models of temporal lobe epilepsy [11]. However, considering the clinical context, there are no direct evidences to support this hypothesis, possibly because of experimental

difficulties. Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) is a well-established, safe, painless and non-expensive neurophysiologic method for non-invasive measurement of cortical excitability [12]. It also offers a unique opportunity to study cortical plasticity in a non-invasive fashion. In the last few years, a variety of TMS protocols have been developed to probe mechanisms of synaptic plasticity in the intact human brain [13]. Among these, paired associative stimulation (PAS) involves repeated pairing of an electrical stimulus to the median nerve with a later transcranial magnetic stimulus (TMS) over the contralateral motor cortex [14,15]. This induces changes in cortical excitability, whose sign depends on the interval between the median nerve and the TMS stimuli. Intervals of 25 ms (PAS25) have an enhancing effect, whereas intervals of around 10 ms (PAS10) reduce excitability [14–16]. Pharmacological studies suggest that such changes involve temporary modifications in synaptic efficacy, equivalent to long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD), as described in animal preparations [17].

Aim of the present study was to test the effects of PAS25 in patients with JME compared to healthy controls. We wanted to explore if, in the complex framework of the JME pathophysiology, an abnormal motor cortical plasticity could play a given role.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Translational Medicine, Section of Neurology, University of Piemonte Orientale "A. Avogadro", Via Solaroli 17, 28100 Novara, Italy. Tel.: +39 03213733767.

E-mail address: gionata.strigaro@gmail.com (G. Strigaro).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Subjects

We studied 12 consecutive adult patients with JME (10 female, mean age 32.8 years, SD 10.7) referring to the Epilepsy Clinic of the University Department of Neurology, Novara, Italy. Diagnoses were made by two experienced epileptologists not involved in the present study on the basis of the clinical history, seizure type and electroencephalography (EEG) findings according to the established diagnostic criteria [18].

Thirteen normal subjects of similar age and sex acted as controls (10 female, mean age 27.9 years; SD 5.6). They had no family or personal history of neurologic disease or epilepsy. Reportedly, both patients and controls had not been taking neuroactive drugs (alcohol and caffeine included) for 72 h prior to the study, except for the patient antiepileptic treatment. Their general and neurological examinations were normal. All subjects were right-handed based on the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory and gave written informed consent. Experiments were approved by the local Ethics Committee and were performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2. Patient features

The clinical features of patients are reported in Table 1. Eight of the 12 patients were classified as photosensitive because they showed a photoparoxysmal response (PPR) to intermittent light stimulation (ILS), which did never entail clinical phenomena. ILS was performed according to the international standards [19]. In general, the clinical course of the patients was favourable, and all of them reported being seizure-free. All patients were on a standard antiepileptic treatment. Valproate, alone or in combination with levetiracetam, was the most frequent choice.

2.3. TMS and EMG recordings

All neurophysiologic studies took place between 2:00 and 6:30 p.m. in a quiet laboratory, at a standard temperature of 22 °C.

Subjects sat comfortably in a chair with both arms resting on a pillow placed on their lap. Motor-evoked potentials (MEPs) were recorded from the abductor pollicis brevis (APB) muscle using 9 mm-diameter Ag–AgCl surface-cup electrodes, in a typical belly-tendon montage. Data were collected, amplified (gain, 1000×), and filtered (20 Hz to 3 kHz) through a CED 1902 isolated amplifier (CED, Cambridge, UK) that fed signals to an A/D converter (CED Micro 1401 Mk II). The sampling rate was 10 kHz. The signal was then recorded by a PC using Signal software ver. 4.08 (Cambridge Electronic Devices, Cambridge, UK).

Table 1
Main clinical features of patients.

Patient #	Age	Sex	Current treatment (mg/die)	Photosensitivity
1	26	F	400 LTG	Yes
2	48	F	1300 VPA + 1000 LEV	Yes
3	22	F	100 LTG	Yes
4	49	F	800 VPA	No
5	45	F	800 VPA	No
6	25	M	900 VPA	No
7	42	F	1000 VPA + 100 PB	Yes
8	24	F	400 LTG	Yes
9	28	M	300 VPA	Yes
10	26	F	1000 LEV	Yes
11	38	F	115 PB	Yes
12	21	F	800 VPA	No

JME: juvenile myoclonic epilepsy; LEV: levetiracetam; LTG: lamotrigine; PB: phenobarbital; VPA: valproic acid.

TMS was delivered through a Magstim 200² stimulator (Magstim) every 4.5–5.5 s. A figure-of-eight coil (outer winding diameter 70 mm) was held tangentially on the scalp at an angle of 45 deg to the midsagittal plane with the handle pointing laterally and posteriorly. Stimuli were applied to the motor cortex representation of the right APB. The motor hot spot was defined as the point where a magnetic stimulus of constant, slightly suprathreshold intensity consistently elicited an MEP of the highest amplitude. Motor cortex excitability was measured as the peak-to-peak amplitude of the MEP generated by single pulse TMS.

2.4. Paired associative stimulation (PAS)

PAS consisted of 180 electrical stimuli of the right median nerve at the wrist paired with a single TMS shock over the hotspot of right APB muscle at a rate of 0.2 Hz [14,20]. Electrical stimulation (square wave pulse; stimulus duration, 0.2 ms) was applied at an intensity of three times the perceptual threshold using a constant current generator (Digitimer, Welwyn Garden City, UK). TMS was applied at an intensity required to elicit a 1 mV MEP (SI_{1mV}). The effects of PAS given with an interstimulus interval of 25 ms between peripheral and TMS stimuli were tested (PAS25). Subjects were instructed to look at their stimulated hand and count the peripheral electrical stimuli they perceived. The MEPs evoked in the APB were displayed online during the intervention to control for the correct coil position and stored for off-line analysis.

2.5. Experimental procedures

The resting motor threshold (RMT) and MEP size were measured. RMT was defined as the lowest intensity that evoked a response of about 50 μ V in the relaxed APB in at least 5 of 10 consecutive trials [21]. The stimulus intensity was changed in steps of 1% of the maximum stimulator output (MSO). Thirty MEPs were recorded with a stimulus intensity of SI_{1mV} before (baseline) and for up to 30 min (T0, T15 and T30) after PAS25. SI_{1mV} was kept constant throughout the experiment. The mean peak-to-peak amplitude was calculated for the data obtained before and after PAS in each single subject.

2.6. Data analysis

The baseline physiological parameters are given in Table 2. The between-group comparability of these variables was tested by a Student's paired *t* test (two-tailed).

MEP amplitudes at each time point were averaged and normalized to baseline. Then they entered a two-way repeated measures (rm) ANOVA with factors "GROUP" (patients, controls) and "TIME" (T0, T15 and T30). In order to evaluate the effects of PAS in each group, a one-way ANOVA was employed with a main factor of "TIME" (baseline, T0, T15 and T30), using absolute MEP values in each experimental session. The Greenhouse–Geisser correction

Table 2
Physiological data (mean \pm SEM).

	HS	JME	Differences among groups
#	13	12	
Age	27.9 \pm 1.5	32.8 \pm 3.1	n.s.
Sex (female)	10	10	n.s.
RMT (%)	40.2 \pm 1.0	44.7 \pm 2.5	n.s.
PsT (mA)	2.2 \pm 0.2	2.6 \pm 0.2	n.s.
SI_{1mV} (%)	51.8 \pm 2.5	55.3 \pm 3.2	n.s.
Baseline MEP (mV)	0.94 \pm 0.07	1.08 \pm 0.08	n.s.

HS: healthy subjects; JME: juvenile myoclonic epilepsy patients; MEP: motor evoked potential; psT: peripheral sensory threshold; RMT: resting motor threshold; SI_{1mV} : intensity required to elicit a 1 mV MEP; n.s.: non-significant.

was used if necessary to correct for non-sphericity; p values <0.05 were considered significant. Bonferroni's post hoc tests or paired t tests (two-tailed) were used for further analyses. Data were analyzed using software (SPSS v. 19.0 for Windows; SPSS Inc.). All data are given as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM).

3. Results

The procedure was well tolerated and no subjects experienced adverse events or seizures during and after the experimental session. Baseline physiological measures and p values are shown in Table 2. In brief, no significant differences in the RMT and baseline MEP amplitude were detected between the two groups.

A preliminary two-way rmANOVA on normalized to baseline values revealed a significant effect of GROUP ($F(1, 23) = 14.244$, $p = 0.001$) but no effects of TIME ($F(2, 46) = 0.251$, $p = 0.779$) nor a GROUP \times TIME interaction ($F(2, 46) = 0.157$, $p = 0.855$). Post hoc paired t tests revealed significant group differences at T0 ($p = 0.001$), T15 ($p = 0.003$) and T30 ($p = 0.013$) (Fig. 1).

One-way ANOVAs separately showed that following PAS25, MEP sizes were significantly increased at T0, T15 and T30 compared to baseline MEP values (effects of 'TIME', $F(3, 36) = 10.315$, $p < 0.001$) in the control group but not in the patients (effects of 'TIME', $F(3, 33) = 0.158$, $p = 0.924$). Post hoc analysis with Bonferroni's correction showed a significant increase of MEP sizes compared with baseline at T0 ($p < 0.001$), T15 ($p = 0.003$) and T30 ($p < 0.001$).

4. Discussion

As in the case of ours, the PAS protocol is usually followed – in healthy subjects – by a sustained increase of the MEP size. Admittedly this represents a LTP-like plasticity phenomenon [14]. On the contrary, in our JME patients, the MEP amplitude did not change, suggesting that LTP-plasticity may be definitely altered in this disease.

PAS is thought to explore the motor cortex synaptic plasticity [14,20,22], that is the ability of neurons to change the efficacy of their synaptic transmission [23]. A long-lasting enhancement is called LTP, and it has been involved in motor learning [24–27] whereas its impairment is crucial in the pathophysiology of a number of movement disorders [28–30]. In contrast, in patients with epilepsy, studies with LTP-like plasticity protocols were very limited so far, possibly because epilepsy itself represents a relative contraindication to TMS, due to the theoretical risk of seizure induction. However, this is a rare event, associated with a crude risk of 1.4% [31] and accordingly, the most recent guidelines considered repetitive TMS safe in this context [32]. On the contrary, LTD-like plasticity protocols, i.e. low-frequency repetitive TMS, have been frequently applied for therapeutic purposes [32,33].




Fig. 1. Grand average of normalized MEPs at T0, T15 and T30 to baseline in patients (black) and controls (white). Asterisks indicate a significant difference ($p < 0.05$).

We studied patients with JME because the primary motor cortex is both involved in the pathophysiology of cortical myoclonus [2] and the most accessible cortical area to TMS [12]. Furthermore, when the motor cortex is not directly involved, it can be influenced at a distance by non-motor epileptogenic areas [34]. Admittedly, a number of excitability measures have already been studied in patients with JME [12]. Overall, the most consistent finding is related to short-interval intracortical inhibition (SICI) substantially reduced in patients with JME compared to healthy controls [35–37] and further decreased after sleep deprivation [38]. SICI reduction is thought to reflect a defective gabaergic inhibition in the motor cortex and particularly of GABAA receptor-mediated effects [12,39]. Besides, the PAS protocol was first applied in a recent study on a small cohort of patients with Unverricht–Lundborg disease, i.e. the most common form of progressive myoclonic epilepsy (PME). The response to PAS25 was found defective, which was interpreted as disturbed motor cortical functions underlying the motor symptoms [40]. Interestingly, our results are in line with these findings and highlight the importance of abnormal motor cortical excitability in both PME and JME, although the underlying pathophysiology is most likely different [37].

We suggest three possible mechanisms involved in the disruption of the motor cortical plasticity in patients with JME: (1) A pathological form of plasticity may occur during epileptogenesis leading into an unbalance between excitatory and inhibitory neural circuits in specific networks, i.e. the motor cortex [41]. In fact, a close relation between LTP and epileptogenesis was recently demonstrated in models of hippocampal epilepsy [10]. Additionally, kindling protocols trigger a large number of effects, some of which appear similar to LTP [42]. In this view, abnormal cortical plasticity may be the neurophysiological background for the development of myoclonus both in JME and PME [40]. (2) Seizures themselves have a significant and lasting impact on the brain in animal models of epilepsy [10], leading to structural and functional alterations of neuronal circuits which may be accompanied by declining cognitive and behavioural functions [9], as already recognized in JME [43]. The background of these manifestations might include an impairment of cortical plasticity. (3) The antiepileptic treatment itself may induce long lasting changes in cortical plasticity. Indeed, AEDs may affect cortical excitability [39] and recent evidences suggest that a single dose of lamotrigine [44] and levetiracetam [45] resulted in a significant reduction of the LTP-like MEP increase in healthy subjects. It has been suggested that this action may contribute to its antiepileptic effects and a successful antiepileptic treatment may have to reduce plasticity to be effective [45].

Any combination of these mechanisms might be possible, although we favour the hypothesis on the role of a defective plasticity as the background for the development of the motor features in JME (and PME) such as the epileptic myoclonus. Indeed, the motor cortex hereby studied is one of the fundamental elements of a complex fronto-thalamic network [4–6] which is affected by multi-focal disease mechanisms in JME [46] and possibly explains the peculiar seizure types, i.e. myoclonic jerks and absences [6]. Besides, motor cortex itself is part of a visuomotor network [47] which is most likely involved in the pathophysiology of the common PPR [7,8].

Pharmacological manipulation of PAS-induced LTP-like plasticity in healthy volunteers revealed suppressive effects of the antagonists of major neuromodulatory neurotransmitters dopamine, norepinephrine and acetylcholine [48]. Of these, the dopaminergic signalling appears of greater importance because it is necessary for normal motor skill learning and synaptic plasticity within the primary motor cortex of animal models [49]. Interestingly, a specific alteration of the dopaminergic system

[50] and impaired dopamine uptake in the midbrain of JME patients [51–53] has been revealed with positron emission tomography (PET). Additionally, dopamine itself is neuroprotective and have inhibitory properties on seizures [54,55], particularly myoclonic seizures [56]. Therefore, we speculate that the disruption of motor cortical plasticity in patients with JME may be the neurophysiological counterpart of a defective dopaminergic signalling. Further studies correlating dopaminergic signalling and TMS measures are needed to corroborate this hypothesis.

This study has few limitations. First, the sample size is small and general conclusions should be inferred with caution. It prevented useful correlations with the clinical features. Secondly, a control group of drug naïve patients with myoclonic seizures would have possibly disentangled the confounding role of AEDs on cortical plasticity. However, ethical constraints hindered the recruitment of these patients.

5. Conclusions

The present data provide evidence of a defective LTP-like plasticity in a cohort of patients with JME, which may be primarily involved in the pathogenesis of myoclonus in this frequent form of epilepsy.

Conflict of interest statement

None of the Authors has any conflict of interest to disclose. We confirm that we have read the Journal's position on issues involved in ethical publication and affirm that this report is consistent with those guidelines.

References

- [1] Camfield CS, Striano P, Camfield PR. Epidemiology of juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav* 2013;28(Suppl. 1):S15–7.
- [2] Serafini A, Rubboli G, Gigli GL, Koutroumanidis M, Gelisse P. Neurophysiology of juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav* 2013;28(Suppl. 1):S30–9.
- [3] Badawy RA, Vogrin SJ, Lai A, Cook MJ. Patterns of cortical hyperexcitability in adolescent/adult-onset generalized epilepsies. *Epilepsia* 2013;54:871–8.
- [4] Caeyenberghs K, Powell HW, Thomas RH, Brindley L, Church C, Evans J, et al. Hyperconnectivity in juvenile myoclonic epilepsy: a network analysis. *Neuroimage Clin* 2015;7:98–104.
- [5] Kim JB, Suh SI, Seo WK, Oh K, Koh SB, Kim JH. Altered thalamocortical functional connectivity in idiopathic generalized epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2014;55:592–600.
- [6] Bagshaw AP, Cavanna AE. Resting state networks in paroxysmal disorders of consciousness. *Epilepsy Behav* 2013;26:290–4.
- [7] Strigaro G, Prandi P, Varrasi C, Monaco F, Cantello R. Defective visual inhibition in photosensitive idiopathic generalized epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2012;53:695–704.
- [8] Strigaro G, Prandi P, Varrasi C, Magistrelli L, Falletta L, Cantello R. Intermittent photic stimulation affects motor cortex excitability in photosensitive idiopathic generalized epilepsy. *Epilepsy Res* 2013;104:78–83.
- [9] Sutula TP. Mechanisms of epilepsy progression: current theories and perspectives from neuroplasticity in adulthood and development. *Epilepsy Res* 2004;60:161–71.
- [10] Lopantsev V, Both M, Draguhn A. Rapid plasticity at inhibitory and excitatory synapses in the hippocampus induced by ictal epileptiform discharges. *Eur J Neurosci* 2009;29:1153–64.
- [11] Artinian J, Peret A, Mircheva Y, Marti G, Crepel V. Impaired neuronal operation through aberrant intrinsic plasticity in epilepsy. *Ann Neurol* 2015;77:592–606.
- [12] Badawy RA, Strigaro G, Cantello R. TMS, cortical excitability and epilepsy: the clinical impact. *Epilepsy Res* 2014;108:153–61.
- [13] Ziemann U. TMS induced plasticity in human cortex. *Rev Neurosci* 2004;15:253–66.
- [14] Stefan K, Kunesch E, Cohen LG, Benecke R, Classen J. Induction of plasticity in the human motor cortex by paired associative stimulation. *Brain* 2000;123(Pt. 3):572–84.
- [15] Wolters A, Sandbrink F, Schlottmann A, Kunesch E, Stefan K, Cohen LG, et al. A temporally asymmetric Hebbian rule governing plasticity in the human motor cortex. *J Neurophysiol* 2003;89:2339–45.
- [16] Weise D, Schramm A, Stefan K, Wolters A, Reiners K, Naumann M, et al. The two sides of associative plasticity in writer's cramp. *Brain* 2006;129:2709–21.
- [17] Müller-Dahlhaus F, Ziemann U, Classen J. Plasticity resembling spike-timing dependent synaptic plasticity: the evidence in human cortex. *Front Synaptic Neurosci* 2010;2:34.
- [18] Proposal for revised classification of epilepsies and epileptic syndromes. Commission on Classification and Terminology of the International League Against Epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 1989;30:389–99.
- [19] Rubboli G, Parra J, Seri S, Takahashi T, Thomas P. EEG diagnostic procedures and special investigations in the assessment of photosensitivity. *Epilepsia* 2004;45(Suppl. 1):35–9.
- [20] Hamada M, Strigaro G, Murase N, Sadnicka A, Galea JM, Edwards MJ, et al. Cerebellar modulation of human associative plasticity. *J Physiol* 2012;590:2365–2374.
- [21] Rossini PM, Barker AT, Berardelli A, Caramia MD, Caruso G, Cracco RQ, et al. Non-invasive electrical and magnetic stimulation of the brain, spinal cord and roots: basic principles and procedures for routine clinical application. Report of an IFCN committee. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1994;91:79–92.
- [22] Strigaro G, Hamada M, Murase N, Cantello R, Rothwell JC. Interaction between different interneuron networks involved in human associative plasticity. *Brain Stimul* 2014;7:658–64.
- [23] Dan Y, Poo MM. Spike timing-dependent plasticity: from synapse to perception. *Physiol Rev* 2006;86:1033–48.
- [24] Rajji TK, Liu SK, Frantseva MV, Mulsant BH, Thoma J, Chen R, et al. Exploring the effect of inducing long-term potentiation in the human motor cortex on motor learning. *Brain Stimul* 2011;4:137–44.
- [25] Ziemann U, Ilic TV, Pauli C, Meintzschel F, Ruge D. Learning modifies subsequent induction of long-term potentiation-like and long-term depression-like plasticity in human motor cortex. *J Neurosci* 2004;24:1666–72.
- [26] Jung P, Ziemann U. Homeostatic and nonhomeostatic modulation of learning in human motor cortex. *J Neurosci* 2009;29:5597–604.
- [27] Rosenkranz K, Kacar A, Rothwell JC. Differential modulation of motor cortical plasticity and excitability in early and late phases of human motor learning. *J Neurosci* 2007;27:12058–66.
- [28] Brandt VC, Niessen E, Ganos C, Kahl U, Baumer T, Munchau A. Altered synaptic plasticity in Tourette's syndrome and its relationship to motor skill learning. *PLoS ONE* 2014;9:e98417.
- [29] Quartarone A, Bagnato S, Rizzo V, Siebner HR, Dattola V, Scalfari A, et al. Abnormal associative plasticity of the human motor cortex in writer's cramp. *Brain* 2003;126:2586–96.
- [30] Morgante F, Espay AJ, Gunraj C, Lang AE, Chen R. Motor cortex plasticity in Parkinson's disease and levodopa-induced dyskinesias. *Brain* 2006;129:1059–69.
- [31] Bae EH, Schrader LM, Machii K, Alonso-Alonso M, Riviello Jr JJ, Pascual-Leone A, et al. Safety and tolerability of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in patients with epilepsy: a review of the literature. *Epilepsy Behav* 2007;10:521–8.
- [32] Lefaucheur JP, Andre-Obadia N, Antal A, Ayache SS, Baeken C, Benninger DH, et al. Evidence-based guidelines on the therapeutic use of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS). *Clin Neurophysiol* 2014;125:2150–206.
- [33] Cantello R, Rossi S, Varrasi C, Olivelli M, Civardi C, Bartalini S, et al. Slow repetitive TMS for drug-resistant epilepsy: clinical and EEG findings of a placebo-controlled trial. *Epilepsia* 2007;48:366–74.
- [34] Hamer HM, Reis J, Mueller HH, Knake S, Overhof M, Oertel WH, et al. Motor cortex excitability in focal epilepsies not including the primary motor area – a TMS study. *Brain* 2005;128:811–8.
- [35] Manganotti P, Bongiovanni LG, Zanette G, Fiaschi A. Early and late intracortical inhibition in juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2000;41:1129–38.
- [36] Manganotti P, Tamburin S, Bongiovanni LG, Zanette G, Fiaschi A. Motor responses to afferent stimulation in juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2004;45:77–80.
- [37] Badawy RA, Macdonell RA, Jackson GD, Berkovic SF. Can changes in cortical excitability distinguish progressive from juvenile myoclonic epilepsy? *Epilepsia* 2010;51:2084–8.
- [38] Manganotti P, Bongiovanni LG, Fuggetta G, Zanette G, Fiaschi A. Effects of sleep deprivation on cortical excitability in patients affected by juvenile myoclonic epilepsy: a combined transcranial magnetic stimulation and EEG study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2006;77:56–60.
- [39] Ziemann U, Lonnecker S, Steinhoff BJ, Paulus W. Effects of antiepileptic drugs on motor cortex excitability in humans: a transcranial magnetic stimulation study. *Ann Neurol* 1996;40:367–78.
- [40] Danner N, Saisanen L, Maatta S, Julkunen P, Hukkanen T, Kononen M, et al. Motor cortical plasticity is impaired in Unverricht–Lundborg disease. *Mov Disord* 2011;26:2095–100.
- [41] Pitkanen A, Lukasiuk K. Mechanisms of epileptogenesis and potential treatment targets. *Lancet Neurol* 2011;10:173–86.
- [42] Albeni BC, Oliver DR, Toupin J, Otero G. Electrical stimulation protocols for hippocampal synaptic plasticity and neuronal hyper-excitability: are they effective or relevant? *Exp Neurol* 2007;204:1–13.
- [43] Schmitz B, Yacubian EM, Feucht M, Hermann B, Trimble M. Neuropsychology and behavior in juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav* 2013;28(Suppl. 1):S72–3.
- [44] Delvendahl I, Lindemann H, Heidegger T, Normann C, Ziemann U, Mall V. Effects of lamotrigine on human motor cortex plasticity. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2013;124:148–53.
- [45] Heidegger T, Krakow K, Ziemann U. Effects of antiepileptic drugs on associative LTP-like plasticity in human motor cortex. *Eur J Neurosci* 2010;32:1215–22.
- [46] Koepp MJ, Woermann F, Savic I, Wandschneider B. Juvenile myoclonic epilepsy – neuroimaging findings. *Epilepsy Behav* 2013;28(Suppl. 1):S40–4.
- [47] Strigaro G, Ruge D, Chen JC, Marshall L, Desikan M, Cantello R, et al. Interaction between visual and motor cortex: a transcranial magnetic stimulation study. *J Physiol* 2015;593:2365–77.

- [48] Korchounov A, Ziemann U. Neuromodulatory neurotransmitters influence LTP-like plasticity in human cortex: a pharmac-TMS study. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2011;36:1894–902.
- [49] Molina-Luna K, Pekanovic A, Rohrich S, Hertler B, Schubring-Giese M, Rioult-Pedotti MS, et al. Dopamine in motor cortex is necessary for skill learning and synaptic plasticity. *PLoS ONE* 2009;4:e7082.
- [50] Landvogt C, Buchholz HG, Bernedo V, Schreckenberger M, Werhahn KJ. Alteration of dopamine D2/D3 receptor binding in patients with juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2010;51:1699–706.
- [51] Odano I, Varrone A, Savic I, Ciumas C, Karlsson P, Jucaite A, et al. Quantitative PET analyses of regional [¹¹C]PE2I binding to the dopamine transporter – application to juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. *Neuroimage* 2012;59:3582–93.
- [52] Ciumas C, Wahlin TB, Espino C, Savic I. The dopamine system in idiopathic generalized epilepsies: identification of syndrome-related changes. *Neuroimage* 2010;51:606–15.
- [53] Ciumas C, Wahlin TB, Jucaite A, Lindstrom P, Halldin C, Savic I. Reduced dopamine transporter binding in patients with juvenile myoclonic epilepsy. *Neurology* 2008;71:788–94.
- [54] Bozzi Y, Borrelli E. Dopamine in neurotoxicity and neuroprotection: what do D2 receptors have to do with it? *Trends Neurosci* 2006;29:167–74.
- [55] Bozzi Y, Vallone D, Borrelli E. Neuroprotective role of dopamine against hippocampal cell death. *J Neurosci* 2000;20:8643–9.
- [56] Greer CA, Alpern HP. Mediation of myoclonic seizures by dopamine and clonic seizures by acetylcholine and GABA. *Life Sci* 1977;21:385–92.